#### SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

# Case 2:11-cv-06825-JP Document 1 Filed 11/01/11 Page 1 of 13 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the court of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the court of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the court of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the court of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the court of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the court of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the court of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required for the United States in September 1974, is required

the civil docket sheet. (SEE II	ISTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE	OF THE FORM.)				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
NAN HUTCHINSON			NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.			
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant			
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	me, Address, Telephone Numb	er and Email Address	NOTE: IN LANI	CONDEMNATION CASES, US	E THE LOCATION OF THE	
Craig Thor Kimmel, E Kimmel & Silverman, 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 (215) 540-8888			LAND I Attorneys (If Known)	NVOLVED.		
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X" in On	e Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES(	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintifl	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff			(For Diversity Cases Only)  PTF DEF  Citizen of This State  DEF  DEF  DEF  Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant			Citizen of Another State	of Business In A	other State	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation		
	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
CONTRACT  ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel & Slander  330 Federal Employers' Liability  340 Marine  PE 345 Marine Product Liability  355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting  442 Employment  443 Housing/ Accommodations  444 Welfare  445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 270 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 285 Property Damage Product Liability 360 Metions to Vacate Sentence 486 Personal Formula Sentence 486 Personal Source 486 Personal Source 570 General 570 General 570 Civil Rights 575 Prison Condition	☐ 610 Agriculture ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure ☐ 67 Orperty 21 USC 881 ☐ 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational ☐ Safety/Health ☐ 690 Other ☐ 1710 Fair Labor Standards ☐ Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting ☐ & Disclosure Act ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. ☐ Security Act ☐ IMMIGRATION ☐ 462 Naturalization Application ☐ 463 Habeas Corpus ☐ Alien Detainee ☐ 465 Other Immigration ☐ Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations  ■ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
<b>□</b> 2 R	ate Court App	ellate Court	Reopened another	ferred from G 6 Multidistr er district Litigation fy) Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection	:	iling (Do not cite jurisdictiona			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23			DEMAND \$	DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  JURY DEMAND:   Yes  No		
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See instructions):	DGE	1	DOCKET NUMBER		
Explanation:						
115-31-	-11					
DATE	• 1	SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY OF RECORD			

# Case 2:11-cv-06825NIPEDOCNIPENDISTRICCP10011611 Page 2 of 13

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be u assignment to appropriate calendar.	sed by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of						
Address of Plaintiff: 1413 Lemon Street Clearuse for FL 33756							
Address of Defendant: 507 Prudentral Road H	arshum PA 19ULKS						
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:							
(Use Reverse Side For Addi							
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and							
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes No						
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No□						
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	D. m. d. d.						
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:						
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:							
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	previously terminated action in this court?						
	Yes□ No□						
<ol><li>Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit action in this court?</li></ol>	pending or within one year previously terminated						
4000 M 4110 CO3111	Yes No No						
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier num	abered case pending or within one year previously						
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No □						
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights or	asse filed by the same individual?						
4. Is this case a second of successive habeas corpus, social security appear, or pro-sectivit rights of	Yes No						
	105— 110—						
CIVIL: (Place V in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:						
<ul> <li>A. Federal Question Cases:</li> <li>1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Diversity Jurisdiction Cases.</li> <li>□ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts</li> </ol>						
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury						
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation						
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury						
5. □ Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury						
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please						
6. Labor-Management Relations	specify)						
7. E. O' 'I.P.' 14							
7. □ Civil Rights	7. Products Liability						
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos						
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases						
10. □ Social Security Review Cases  11. □ All other Federal Question Cases  15 U.S.C. 81692	(Please specify)						
(Please specify)  ARBITRATION CERTIF	ICATION						
(Check Appropriate Cates							
I, counsel of record do hereby certify:	i.e. 4h d						
Rursuant b Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and bel \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	ier, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of						
☐ Relief other than monetary damages is spugat.							
10-31-11 / HIN /hox x imme/	57100						
DATE:	Attorney I.D.#						
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there l							
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or with	thin one year previously terminated action in this court						
except as noted above.							
DATE: 10-31-11 (raig/haxximme/	5/100						
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#						

CIV. 609 (6/08)

## Case 2:11-cv-06825-JP Document 1 Filed 11/01/11 Page 3 of 13

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

•	Hutchin		:	CIVIL ACTION	
NCO	v. Financia	1 Systems, In	: : :C .	NO.	
In accord plaintiff's filing the side of the designation the plaint	lance with the Civeshall complete a Cacomplaint and servais form.) In the on, that defendant iff and all other pass	il Justice Expense and see Management Trandre are copy on all defender event that a defender shall, with its first appears to the contract of	nd Delay Reductor Designation I dants. (See § 1:0 ant does not agree opearance, submement Track Des	tion Plan of this court, counse Form in all civil cases at the tin 3 of the plan set forth on the re be with the plaintiff regarding it to the clerk of court and ser- signation Form specifying the	ne of verse said ve on
SELECT	ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CASI	E MANAGEME	ENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habea	as Corpus – Cases	brought under 28 U	.S.C. § 2241 thro	ough § 2255.	( )
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.					
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.					
	stos – Cases involv sure to asbestos.	ving claims for perso	onal injury or pro	perty damage from	( )
comn the co	nonly referred to a	Cases that do not fal s complex and that n side of this form for	eed special or in	tense management by	( )
(f) Stand	lard Management -	- Cases that do not fa	all into any one o	of the other tracks.	( )
10-3 Date 215-5	1-11	Attorney-at-lease 877-788 6	2864	Attorney for Liminel Occedition	<u>On</u> u.com
Telephor	16	FAX Numbe	r	E-Mail Address	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

#### 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 NAN HUTCHINSON, 4 Plaintiff 5 Case No.: v. 6 7 NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR **JURY TRIAL** 8 Defendant (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 9 10 **COMPLAINT** 11 NAN HUTCHINSON ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, KIMMEL & 12 SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. 13 ("Defendant"): 14 INTRODUCTION 15 1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 16 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"). 17 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 18 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states 19 20 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 21 without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original 22 jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States. 23 Defendant has its corporate headquarters in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania 3. 24 and as such, personal jurisdiction is established. 25 Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1). 4.

#### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Clearwater, Florida.
- 6. Plaintiff is a person granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. See 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a) and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 22, 2000).
- 7. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, PA 19044.
- 8. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 10. The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA") is a comprehensive statute, which prohibits a catalog of activities in connection with the collection of debts by third parties.

  See 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. The FDCPA imposes civil liability on any person or entity that violates its provisions, and establishes general standards of debt collector conduct, defines abuse, and provides for specific consumer rights. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k. The operative provisions of the FDCPA declare certain rights to be provided to or claimed by debtors, forbid deceitful and misleading practices, prohibit harassing and abusive tactics, and proscribe unfair or unconscionable conduct, both generally and in a specific list of disapproved practices.
- 11. In particular, the FDCPA broadly enumerates several practices considered contrary to its stated purpose, and forbids debt collectors from taking such action. The substantive heart of the FDCPA lies in three broad prohibitions. First, a "debt collector may not engage in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any

19 20

18

21 22

23

24

25

person in connection with the collection of a debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692d. Second, a "debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e. And third, a "debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692f. The FDCPA is designed to protect consumers from unscrupulous collectors, whether or not there exists a valid debt, broadly prohibits unfair or unconscionable collection methods, conduct which harasses, oppresses or abuses any debtor, and any false, deceptive or misleading statements in connection with the collection of a debt.

- In enacting the FDCPA, the United States Congress found that "[t]here is 12. abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors," which "contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." 15 U.S.C. § 1692a. Congress additionally found existing laws and procedures for redressing debt collection injuries to be inadequate to protect consumers. 15 U.S.C. § 1692b.
- 13. Congress enacted the FDCPA to regulate the collection of consumer debts by debt The express purposes of the FDCPA are to "eliminate abusive debt collection collectors. practices by debt collectors, to insure that debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 16. Defendant and others it retained began in August 2011, and continuing through October 2011, constantly and continuously placing harassing and abusive collection calls to Plaintiff seeking and demanding payment for an alleged consumer debt of another person.
- 17. Upon information and belief, the debt Defendant was seeking to collect arose out of transactions that were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
  - 18. Defendant and others it retained placed calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone.
- 19. In its first telephone call to Plaintiff, Defendant disclosed Plaintiff that it was seeking to collect a debt owed by another person.
- 20. Plaintiff informed Defendant that she is not the person that it was seeking to contact, that the individual did not live with her, that the individual could not be contacted at the number it was calling, and not to call her anymore.
- 21. Despite being informed that Plaintiff did not know the individual that they were seeking to contact, Defendant continued to call Plaintiff on a repetitive and continuous basis in an attempt to collect the debt.
- 22. Defendant contacted Plaintiff, on average, at least two (2) times a day, sometimes calling her as frequently as four (4) times a day.
- 23. As a result of Defendant's continuous and repetitive telephone calls, Plaintiff received at least ten (10) collection calls a week from Defendant for more than eight (8) weeks.
- 24. Wanting Defendant's repeated telephone calls to stop, Plaintiff obtained legal counsel to help her.
- 25. On September 15, 2011, Counsel for Plaintiff sent correspondence to Defendant advising Defendant that Plaintiff was represented by counsel and to cease contacting her. See

Exhibit A, September 15, 2011, letter to Defendant.

- 26. Defendant, however, ignored the instructions of Plaintiff's counsel and continued to contact Plaintiff.
- 27. Specifically, on October 24, 2011, Defendant, by and through its employee identified to Plaintiff as "Kevin Simmons," contacted Plaintiff on her cellular telephone in its attempts to collect a debt.
- 28. Defendant contacted Plaintiff from the following telephone number: (866) 832-1224, which the undersigned has confirmed is a telephone number belonging to Defendant.
- 29. Defendant failed to investigate or verify contact information prior to and after calling Plaintiff.
  - 30. Defendant failed to update its records to avoid further harassment of Plaintiff.
- 31. The repetitive calls to Plaintiff were disturbing, harassing, and an invasion of privacy.

#### CONSTRUCTION OF APPLICABLE LAW

- 32. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute. <u>Taylor v. Perrin, Landry, deLaunay & Durand</u>, 103 F.3d 1232 (5th Cir. 1997). "Because the Act imposes strict liability, a consumer need not show intentional conduct by the debt collector to be entitled to damages." <u>Russell v. Equifax A.R.S.</u>, 74 F. 3d 30 (2d Cir. 1996); <u>see also Gearing v. Check Brokerage Corp.</u>, 233 F.3d 469 (7th Cir. 2000) (holding unintentional misrepresentation of debt collector's legal status violated FDCPA); Clomon v. Jackson, 988 F. 2d 1314 (2d Cir. 1993).
- 33. The FDCPA is a remedial statute, and therefore must be construed liberally in favor of the debtor. Sprinkle v. SB&C Ltd., 472 F. Supp. 2d 1235 (W.D. Wash. 2006). The remedial nature of the FDCPA requires that courts interpret it liberally. Clark v. Capital Credit

& Collection Services, Inc., 460 F. 3d 1162 (9th Cir. 2006). "Because the FDCPA, like the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) 15 U.S.C §1601 *et seq.*, is a remedial statute, it should be construed liberally in favor of the consumer." Johnson v. Riddle, 305 F. 3d 1107 (10th Cir. 2002).

34. The FDCPA is to be interpreted in accordance with the "least sophisticated" consumer standard. See Jeter v. Credit Bureau, Inc., 760 F.2d 1168 (11th Cir. 1985); Graziano v. Harrison, 950 F. 2d 107 (3<sup>rd</sup> Cir. 1991); Swanson v. Southern Oregon Credit Service, Inc., 869 F.2d 1222 (9th Cir. 1988). The FDCPA was not "made for the protection of experts, but for the public - that vast multitude which includes the ignorant, the unthinking, and the credulous, and the fact that a false statement may be obviously false to those who are trained and experienced does not change its character, nor take away its power to deceive others less experienced." Id. The least sophisticated consumer standard serves a dual purpose in that it ensures protection of all consumers, even naive and trusting, against deceptive collection practices, and protects collectors against liability for bizarre or idiosyncratic interpretations of collection notices. Clomon, 988 F. 2d at 1318.

# COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 35. In its actions to collect a debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in one or more of the following ways:
  - a. Defendant violated the FDCPA generally;
  - b. Defendant violated §1692b(2) of the FDCPA when it disclosed to Plaintiff that another person owes a debt;
  - c. Defendant violated §1692b(3) of the FDCPA when it communicated with

Plaintiff more than once;

- d. Defendant violated §1692c(a)(1) of the FDCPA when it communicated with Plaintiff at a time or place that it knew or should have known was inconvenient;
- e. Defendant violated §1692c(a)(2) of the FDCPA when it communicated with Plaintiff whether they knew she was represented by counsel;
- f. Defendant violated §1692c(b) of the FDCPA when it communicated with Plaintiff about another person's debt;
- g. Defendant violated §1692c(c) of the FDCPA when it communicated with Plaintiff after she notified Defendant in writing that she did wanted them to cease further communication with her;
- h. Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA when it harassed, oppressed or abused Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt;
- Defendant violated §1692d(5) of the FDCPA when caused Plaintiff's phone to ring repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass Plaintiff; and
- j. Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA when it used unfair and unconscionable means in connection with the collection of a debt.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, NAN HUTCHINSON, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutor y damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);

## Case 2:11-cv-06825-JP Document 1 Filed 11/01/11 Page 11 of 13 c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation 1 2 costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court. 3 4 5 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 6 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, NAN HUTCHINSON, demands a jury trial in 7 this case. 8 9 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, 10 11 Date: 10-31-11 By:\_ 12 Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney ID No. 57100 13 Tara L. Patterson Attorney ID No. 88343 14 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 30 E. Butler Pike 15 Ambler, PA 19002 Phone: (215) 540-8888 16 Fax: (877) 788-2864 Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com 17 Email: tpatterson@creditlaw.com 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

CRAIG THOR KIMMEL+-1 ROBERT M. SILVERMAN\*\*-

- Member, PA Bar Member, NJ Bar
- <sup>x</sup> Member, DE Bar
- Member, NY Bar
- Member, MA Bar
- Member, MD Bar
- Member, OH Bar
- Member, MI Bar
- Member, NH Bar
- Member, CT Bar
- Member, TN Bar
- \* Member, CA Bar
- Member, WY Bar Member, DC Bar



www.CREDITLAW.com (800)-NOT-FAIR

CORPORATE HEADOUARTERS

30 E. Butler Pike, Ambler, PA 19002 Toll Free (800)-668-3247 Fax (877)-788-2864

DELAWARE, 501 Silverside Road, Suite 118, Wilmington, DE 19809, (302) 791-9373 NEW ENGLAND, 60 Hartford Pike, P.O. Box 325, Dayville, CT 06241, (860) 866-4380 NEW JERSEY, Executive Quarters, 1930 E. Marlton Pike, Suite Q29, Cherry Hill, NJ 08003, (856) 429-8334 NEW YORK, 1001 Avenue of the Americas, 12th Floor, New York, NY 10018, (212) 719-7543 WESTERN DISTRICT OF NY, 1207 Delaware Avenue, Suite 440, Buffalo, NY 14209, (716) 332-6112 W. PENNSYLVANIA, 210 Grant Street, Suite 202, Pittsburgh, PA 15219, (412) 566-1001 Please reply to CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS

September 15, 2011

VIA E-MAIL Ross S. Enders, Esq. Sessions, Fishman, Nathan & Israel, LLC

200 Route 31 North, Suite 203

Flemington, NJ 08822

RE: Hutchinson, Nan v. NCO Financial Systems, Inc.

Dear Mr. Enders:

Please be advised that we represent the above-named individual regarding claims against your company pursuant to the Federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C 1692, et seq. and State law. Having been formally notified of representation, we respectfully demand you not contact our client for any reason. Instead, please direct all future contact and correspondence to our attention only. We reserve the right to seek injunctive relief should you fail to honor these directives.

THIS LETTER ALSO SERVES AS NOTICE TO IMMEDIATELY CEASE AND **DESIST CONTACTING OUR CLIENT** with respect to the collection or attempted collection of any debt, pursuant to the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692b(6) and 1692c(b), et seq. There to be absolutely no calls, letters, or other communications whatsoever by any debt collector. Do not contact our client at home, at work, by cellular phone, by mail or otherwise.

Based upon the information received to date, an FDCPA claim is being prepared and will be filed against your company without further advance notice. You may if desired, contact us to discuss the matter but in any event, do not attempt to communicate with our client directly.



JACQUELINE C. HERRITT'

ANGELA K. TROCCOLI CHRISTINA GILL ROSEMAN\*A§

W, CHRISTOPHER COMPONOVO\* TIMOTHY J. ABEEL, JR. \*\*

ROBERT A. RAPKIN<sup>†</sup>
AMY L. BENNECOFF<sup>\*\*</sup>\*\*
TARA L. PATTERSON<sup>†</sup>

FRED DAVIS\*\* RICHARD A. SCHOLER\*\*

Sincerely,

/s/ Craig Thor Kimmel

Craig Thor Kimmel Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.